EFFECT OF EUGENOL (CLOVE OIL) SUPPLEMENTATION ON HAEMATO-BIOCHEMICAL AND IMMUNOLOGICAL PARAMETERS IN BROILER CHICKS

NEETU NEHRA, DEEPIKA LATHER*, ASHMITA CHAHAL, VIKAS NEHRA and SARTHAK¹ Department of Veterinary Pathology, ¹Department of Veterinary Physiology and Biochemistry, College of Veterinary Sciences, Lala Lajpat Rai University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences (LUVAS), Hisar-125004, Haryana, India

Received: 12.06.23; Accepted: 08.09.23

ABSTRACT

The present study was envisaged with an aim to assess the hepatoprotective, antioxidative and immunostimulatory potential of eugenol, a monoterpene compound as feed additive in broiler chicken *via* its influence on haemato-biochemical indices, oxidative stress parameters and humoral and cell mediated immune response (CMI). A 42 days experimental study was conducted on 60 broiler chicks divided randomly into two groups; A (eugenol supplementation @5% in feed) and B (basal control feed). All the chicks at the age of 7 days were vaccinated intoanasally with F1 strain of NDV for humoral immune response study. Cell mediated immune response (CMI) was determined by delayed type hypersensitivity (DTH) skin test using dinitrochlorobenzene (DNCB) as an eliciting antigen. To study the parameters blood/serum samples were collected from randomly selected six chicks of each group after 7th, 14th 21st, 28th and 35th day post initiation of eugenol feeding. Haematological parameters i.e., haemoglobin (Hb), packed cell volume (PCV), total erythrocyte count (TEC) and total leucocyte count (TLC) revealed comparable values in both the groups. Analysis of oxidative stress parameters superoxide dismutase (SOD) and catalase activities revealed significantly higher values, while lipid peroxidase activity showed significantly lower values in group A as compared to control group. Biochemical parameters like total protein, serum albumin, liver function parameters (ALT, AST and GGT activities) and kidney function tests (serum uric acid and creatinine concentration) revealed significant improvement in serum albumin concentration and alanine transaminase (ALT) activity in eugenol supplemented group in comparison to control group. Immunological studies showed no significant difference in humoral immune response but improvement in CMI was noticed in group-A chicks. It was concluded that supplementing eugenol (0.5%) as feed additive not only enhances the antioxidative status in broiler chicks but also acts as a hepatoprotectant.

Keywords: Broiler chicks, Eugenol, Haemato-bichemical indices, Oxidative stress, Immune response

How to cite: Nehra, N., Lather, D., Chahal, A., Nehra, V. and Sarthak (2024). Effect of Eugenol (clove oil) supplementation on haemato-biochemical and immunological parameters in broiler chicks. *Haryana Vet.* **63(SI)**: 99-104.

Poultry industry has emerged as the fastest growing segment in the world. Feeding is the most important management aspect in poultry production since feed costs account for 60-70 percent of total poultry expense. Since most nations no longer allow the use of antibiotics as growth promoters, non-antibiotic substances with the same potential, such as probiotics, organic compounds, enzymes, and phytogenic chemicals, have been seen as effective replacements (da Silveira Deminicis *et al.*, 2021; Ghasemian *et al.*, 2022). The limitation was imposed due to the rapid growth of antibiotic-resistant strains of bacteria and their transmission through food chain (Gholami-Ahangaran *et al.*, 2021).

Phytochemicals also known as phytobiotics, are broad subset of plant-based non-nutritive bioactive substances that exert protective or disease preventing effects by scavenging free radicals produced after oxidative stress and one such example is eugenol, a major phytogenic chemical found in clove oil. Inclusion of phytochemicals in the commercial diet of animals improves the quality of feed and thus promotes the production performance, increase productivity and enhancing the quality of the products obtained from these animals (Gholami-Ahangaran et al., 2021a; Nagargoje et al., 2023). Various essential oils like lime and oregano oils possess potent antioxidant and antimicrobial activity so can be used as a potent viable alternative to chemical preservative (Kumar et al., 2023). In addition, several researches have demonstrated that phytobiotics can increase the activity of digestive enzyme and absorption potential. Additionally, some research findings suggested that phytogenic compounds could be able to increase intestinal mucus production, which might help the body to recover from pathogen pressure by preventing adhesion to the mucosa (Mohammadi and Kim, 2018). Eugenol (4allyl-1-hydroxy-2-methoxybenzene), the active principle of clove (Syzygium aromaticum) and other herbs (like cinnamon oil, tulsi) is well known for its various pharmacological properties including anaesthetic, antioxidant, antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, antineoplastic, neuroprotective, hypolipidemic and antidiabetic effectiveness (Cui et al., 2019; Garabadu and Sharma, 2019; Oroojan, 2020; Tandel et al., 2021). Eugenol which is considered as GRAS (generally recognized as safe) and non-mutagenic by World Health Organization (WHO) and is widely used in pharmaceutical industry, health care and cosmetology (Nisar et al., 2021).

*Corresponding author: drdeepika@luvas.edu.in

The present study is conducted to evaluate the hepatoprotective, antioxidative and immunostimulatory potential of eugenol for used as feed additive in broiler chicks.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted after the approval of Institutional Animal Ethics Committee (IAEC) vide letter no. VCC/IAEC/2022/1624+51 dated 10/05/2022. The Eugenol {2- Methoxy-4-(2-propenyl) phenol} used in the present study was procured from Sigma-Aldrich (Product Number W246719).

Experimental design: Sixty (60), day-old Cobb broiler chicks were purchased from a local commercial hatchery and reared in cages under strict hygienic conditions with optimum temperature and proper ventilation in the animal house of Department of Veterinary Pathology, LUVAS, Hisar. After 7 days of acclimatization, the chicks were randomly divided into two groups (n=30 each). On the same day, chicks were vaccinated with Newcastle disease vaccine (F1 strain) via intranasal route. Group A chicks were provided with eugenol as feed supplement ((a, 0.5%)) in basal feed, whereas chicks of group B were given basal control feed throughout the experiment. Blood and serum samples were collected from six chicks of each group on 7th, 14th, 21st, 28th and 35th days post initiation of eugenol (DPIE) feeding in sterile ethylene diamine tetra acetate (EDTA) coated vials for haematological and oxidative stress parameter studies and in sterile tubes without anticoagulant for serum separation. Serum samples were used for assessing different biochemical parameters and humoral immune response.

Haemato-biochemical studies: Blood samples collected in EDTA coated sterile vials were analyzed for Haemoglobin (Hb), Packed Cell Volume (PCV), Total Erythrocyte Count (TEC) and Total Leukocyte Count (TLC) by using Automatic haematological analyzer (MS4Se-Melet Schloesing Laboratories, France). Serum samples were analysed for different biochemical parameters like total protein, serum albumin, liver function parameters (ALT, AST and GGT activities) and kidney function tests (serum uric acid and creatinine concentration) by using semi-automatic biochemistry analyzer (Erba Mannheim Chem-5 Plus, Transasia) and different kits procured from ERBA diagnostics Mannheim GmbH (Transasia Bio-Medicals Ltd.).

Oxidative stress parameters: 10% RBC lysate was prepared from blood samples collected in EDTA vials for analysis of different oxidative stress parameters like superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (Aebi, 1984) and assay of lipid peroxidase (LPO) (Shafiq-ur-Rehman, 1984).

Immunological studies: The humoral immune response in the serum samples was assessed by standard Hemagglutination inhibition (HI) test against New castle disease virus (NCDV) antigen and cellular immune response was determined by delayed type hypersensitivity (DTH) skin test using dinitrochlorobenzene (DNCB) as an eliciting antigen (Tiwary and Goel, 1985).

Statistical analysis: The data for various parameters were subjected to statistical analysis by using paired t-test. Individual means were compared at 5% confidence level for statistical analysis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Haematological parameters (Table 1) revealed no significant (P<0.05) difference in mean Hb and TEC values and the values were comparable in the both groups. Values of TLC also revealed no significant (P<0.05) difference and were comparable in group A and B. In agreement to our findings, Yan and Kim (2012) in a 5 week trial investigated the effect of eugenol and cinnamaldehyde as feed additive in growing pigs and did not found any significant difference in TEC and TLC values except relative increased lymphocyte count. In contrast to our findings Bello et al. (2016) reported significant increase in TLC, monocyte and eosinophils concentrations in fowl supplemented with clove extract @ 400 and 600 mg/kg in diet. According to Ibrahim et al. (2022), birds given eugenol nanoemulsion at different doses (100, 250, and 400 mg/kg) exhibited increased RBCs count, whereas the Hb concentrations in different experimental groups did not differ significantly.

Biochemical studies (Table 2) revealed comparable total protein values in both groups A and B, although improved values were noticed in the eugenol supplemented group but the difference was significant (P<0.05) only at 21 DPIE. The present findings are in agreement with Tariq et al. (2015) who reported a nonsignificant effect of clove supplementation on serum protein in Japanese quail. In contrast Mahrous et al. (2017) observed significant increase in total protein and serum globulin following dietary supplementation of clove bud. Mean serum albumin concentration also data revealed improved serum albumin values in eugenol supplemented group A as compared to control group B but the difference was significant (P<0.05) only at 28 DPIE. Total protein and albumin are produced by the liver, which makes them valuable as indicators of the degree of hepatic damage. The findings of the current study demonstrated that eugenol's antioxidant capability enhanced the concentration of total protein and albumin. This might be accomplished by a mechanism combining increase protein synthesis and

Table 1.	Effect of eugenol on blood hen	natological parameters (mean	n ± S.E.) in broiler chicks at different intervals

Parameters	7 DPIE	14 DPIE	21 DPIE	28 DPIE	35 DPIE
Hb (g/dl)					
GroupA(E)	$7.45^{\circ}\pm0.11$	$7.15^{a}\pm0.19$	$7.80^{\circ}\pm0.23$	8.25 ^a ±0.15	$9.18^{a}\pm0.28$
Group B (C)	$7.65^{\circ}\pm0.1$	$7.45^{a}\pm0.08$	7.63 ^a ±0.28	8.53 ^b ±0.19	$9.07^{a}\pm0.24$
TEC (million/µl)					
Group A(E)	2.29 ^a ±0.02	2.34 ^b ±0.04	$2.41^{a}\pm0.04$	2.64 ^ª ±0.03	2.93 ^a ±0.11
Group B (C)	2.34 ^a ±0.02	2.21 ^a ±0.09	$2.48^{a}\pm0.11$	2.63 ^a ±0.07	$2.96^{a}\pm0.06$
PCV(%)					
GroupA(E)	32.87 ^a ±0.31	33.03 ^a ±1.04	31.01 ^a ±0.43	34.23 ^a ±1.11	35.12 ^ª ±0.67
Group B (C)	33.42 ^a ±0.21	34.10 ^a ±0.48	35.08 ^b ±0.76	34.55°±0.56	$33.17^{a} \pm 1.04$
MCV(fl)					
GroupA(E)	143.83°±2.43	141.21°±3.26	129.08 ^a ±3.29	$129.80^{\circ} \pm 3.18$	120.71 ^ª ±4.32
Group B (C)	138.4 ^ª ±0.82	155.29 ^a ±5.43	$142.48^{a} \pm 6.09$	131.84 ^a ±4.59	112.33 ^a ±5.11
MCHC (%)					
GroupA(E)	22.68 ^ª ±0.47	21.72 ^a ±0.77	25.19 ^b ±0.86	$24.22^{a}\pm0.86$	$26.19^{ab} \pm 0.92$
Group B (C)	22.55°±0.32	21.88ª±0.49	$21.8^{a}\pm0.87$	24.72 ^a ±0.61	$27.41^{b}\pm 0.78$
TLC (10 ³ /mm ³)					
GroupA(E)	$17.79^{a} \pm 0.48$	$14.99^{\text{b}} \pm 0.46$	16.31 ^b ±0.54	19.37 ^b ±0.65	20.23 ^b ±0.43
Group B (C)	$17.56^{a} \pm 0.28$	15.20 ^b ±0.6	$16.46^{b} \pm 0.51$	19.28 ^b ±0.49	20.69 ^b ±0.59

*Means±S.E, with unlike superscript in the same column differ significantly (P 0.05), DPIE=Days post administration of eugenol; E=eugenol; C=control

Table 2.	Effect of eugenol on serum biochemic	al parameters (mean±S.I	E.) in broiler chicks at different intervals

Parameters	7 DPIE	14 DPIE	21 DPIE	28 DPIE	35 DPIE
Total Protein (g/dl)					
GroupA(E)	3.53 ^ª ±0.02	$3.70^{a} \pm 0.03$	4.01 ^a ±0.04	4.31°±0.09	4.66°±0.02
Group B (C)	$3.57^{a} \pm 0.01$	3.73 ^a ±0.04	4.15 ^b ±0.06	4.36 ^a ±0.09	4.58°±0.03
Serum Albumin (g/	dl)				
Group A(E)	$1.85^{a}\pm0.02$	$2.05^{a}\pm0.04$	$1.99^{a}\pm0.03$	2.00ª±0.03	2.15 ^a ±0.06
Group B (C)	$1.87^{a}\pm0.02$	$2.06^{a}\pm0.04$	$1.96^{a}\pm0.03$	1.89 ^b ±0.02	2.13 ^a ±0.03
Serum AST (IU/L)					
Group A(E)	179.65°±0.63	180.53°±0.72	$182.29^{a}\pm0.79$	$187.92^{a} \pm 1.28$	$189.16^{a} \pm 0.71$
Group B (C)	$179.83^{a}\pm0.87$	182.67 ^a ±1.32	$183.24^{a}\pm 0.98$	$187.56^{a} \pm 1.16$	$190.28^{\circ} \pm 0.85$
Serum ALT (IU/L)					
Group A(E)	8.03°±0.03	$7.09^{a}\pm0.02$	$6.08^{a}\pm0.03$	5.05 ^ª ±0.03	$4.44^{a} \pm 0.01$
Group B (C)	8.45 ^a ±0.16	$7.11^{a}\pm0.02$	$6.16^{a} \pm 0.02$	5.15 ^b ±0.02	4.48 ^a ±0.03
Serum GGT (IU/L))				
Group A(E)	26.49 ^a ±0.14	26.58 ^a ±0.17	27.36 ^a ±0.13	27.42 ^a ±0.11	$27.54^{a} \pm 0.08$
Group B (C)	26.52 ^a ±0.09	26.53°±0.17	27.44 ^a ±0.15	$27.40^{a}\pm0.11$	27.52 ^ª ±0.07
Creatinine (mg/dl)					
Group A(E)	$0.44^{a}\pm0.01$	$0.46^{a}\pm0.01$	$0.47^{a}\pm0.02$	$0.48^{a}\pm0.01$	$0.46^{a} \pm 0.02$
Group B (C)	$0.44^{a}\pm0.01$	$0.46^{a}\pm0.01$	$0.45^{a}\pm0.02$	$0.48^{a}\pm0.01$	$0.45^{a}\pm0.02$
Uric acid (mg/dl)					
Group A(E)	$6.47^{a}\pm0.02$	$6.84^{a}\pm0.09$	$6.81^{a}\pm0.07$	$5.54^{a}\pm0.04$	5.45°±0.03
Group B (C)	$6.46^{a} \pm 0.01$	$6.86^{a} \pm 0.08$	$6.87^{a}\pm0.09$	$5.51^{a}\pm0.08$	5.47 ^ª ±0.06

*Means±S.E, with unlike superscript in the same column differ significantly (P 0.05), DPIE=Days post administration of eugenol; E=eugenol; C=control

protection against macromolecular damage via its antioxidant capacity.

values of ALT in eugenol fed group A as compared to control group B, but the values were found to be significant (P<0.05) only at 28 DPIE. Similarly, Abd El-Hack *et al.*

Data from liver function parameters revealed better

Table 3.	Effect of eugenol on oxidative st	ress parameters (mean±S.E.) ir	n broiler chicks at different intervals

7 DPIE	14 DPIE	21 DPIE	28 DPIE	35 DPIE
$17.67^{a}+0.01$				
$17.67^{a} \pm 0.01$				
17.07 ±0.01	$18.73^{a}\pm0.02$	20.13 ^a ±0.04	21.56°±1.04	22.95 ^a ±1.1
$17.67^{a}\pm0.02$	18.85 ^b ±0.02	19.50 ^b ±0.05	20.55°±0.08	22.43°±0.06
$18.38^{a}\pm0.04$	19.67 ^a ±0.06	22.76°±0.04	23.44 ^a ±0.06	25.6ª±0.03
$18.41^{a}\pm0.05$	20.34 ^b ±0.06	20.85 ^b ±0.04	22.31 ^b ±0.07	$23.47^{b} \pm 0.07$
$3.40^{a}\pm0.03$	3.61 ^a ±0.03	3.71 ^ª ±0.02	3.81 ^a ±0.01	$3.97^{a} \pm 0.02$
$3.44^{a}\pm0.02$	3.68 ^b ±0.02	3.74 ^ª ±0.02	3.88 ^a ±0.02	4.06 ^b ±0.03
	$17.67^{a}\pm0.02$ $18.38^{a}\pm0.04$ $18.41^{a}\pm0.05$ $3.40^{a}\pm0.03$	$17.67^{a}\pm0.02$ $18.85^{b}\pm0.02$ $18.38^{a}\pm0.04$ $19.67^{a}\pm0.06$ $18.41^{a}\pm0.05$ $20.34^{b}\pm0.06$ $3.40^{a}\pm0.03$ $3.61^{a}\pm0.03$	$17.67^{a}\pm0.02$ $18.85^{b}\pm0.02$ $19.50^{b}\pm0.05$ $18.38^{a}\pm0.04$ $19.67^{a}\pm0.06$ $22.76^{a}\pm0.04$ $18.41^{a}\pm0.05$ $20.34^{b}\pm0.06$ $20.85^{b}\pm0.04$ $3.40^{a}\pm0.03$ $3.61^{a}\pm0.03$ $3.71^{a}\pm0.02$	$17.67^{a}\pm0.02$ $18.85^{b}\pm0.02$ $19.50^{b}\pm0.05$ $20.55^{a}\pm0.08$ $18.38^{a}\pm0.04$ $19.67^{a}\pm0.06$ $22.76^{a}\pm0.04$ $23.44^{a}\pm0.06$ $18.41^{a}\pm0.05$ $20.34^{b}\pm0.06$ $20.85^{b}\pm0.04$ $22.31^{b}\pm0.07$ $3.40^{a}\pm0.03$ $3.61^{a}\pm0.03$ $3.71^{a}\pm0.02$ $3.81^{a}\pm0.01$

*Means \pm S.E, with unlike superscript in the same column differ significantly (P 0.05), DPIE=Days post administration of eugenol; E=eugenol; C=control

Table 4.	Effect of eugenol on mean HI titre (\log_2 , mean ± S.E.) against NDV (New Castle Disease Virus) in broiler chicks at
	different intervals

Groups	Days Post Initiation of Eugenol (DPIE)				
	7 DPIE	14 DPIE	21 DPIE	28 DPIE	35 DPIE
A(E)	$6.18^{a} \pm 0.08$	$6.70^{\circ} \pm 0.07$	$7.08^{a} \pm 0.08$	$7.01^{a}\pm0.07$	$6.50^{a} \pm 0.12$
B(C)	$6.07^{a}\pm0.06$	$6.78^{a} \pm 0.05$	$7.02^{a}\pm0.14$	6.99ª±0.08	$6.58^{\circ} \pm 0.07$

*Means±S.E, with unlike superscript in the same column differ significantly (P 0.05), E-Eugenol, C-Control

(2020) also observed decreased ALT values after feeding cinnamon essential oil (CEO) in broilers. Mahrous et al. (2017) also noticed decrease in ALT following dietary supplementation of clove bud. GGT and AST values of group A were numerically improved in comparison to the values of control group but difference was not found significant (P<0.05) at any interval. Our results are consistent with Mehr et al. (2014) findings who also reported the effect of varying concentrations of clove essential oil on serum AST in broilers and found no significant (P<0.05) difference among different groups. The activity of transaminases such as ALT and AST is routinely used to assess liver functions, while GGT, a membrane bound enzyme is well recognised biomarker for tissue injury caused by various contaminants. Rapid elevation in the levels of serum transaminases is generally considered as a sign of hepatocellular injury caused by generation of reactive oxygen species by some pathogen or toxicant. The alleviating action of eugenol suggests its hepatoprotective ability due to the inhibitory effect of eugenol against reactive oxygen species and nitric oxide production (Abd El-Hack et al., 2020). Previous workers have also shown that eugenol has anti-hepatotoxic activity and is therefore a promising dietary supplement for the treatment of hepatic damage (Marchese et al., 2017; Andjelkovic et al., 2019).

Values of kidney function parameters (serum uric acid and creatinine concentration) were comparable in both groups (A and B) and revealed no significant (P<0.05)

Table 5.	Effect of eugenol on Dinitrochlorobenzene
	(DNCB) response (mean increase in skin thickness
	in mm, mean±S.E.) in broiler chicks at different
	intervals

Groups		Left Abdominal Skin Thickness (in mm) after DNCB application		
	After 24 hrs	After 48 hrs		
GroupA(E)	3.49 ^a ±0.05	3.44°±0.06		
Group B (C)	3.36 ^a ±0.12	3.14 ^a ±0.13		

*Means±S.E, with unlike superscript in the same column differ significantly (P 0.05), E-Eugenol, C-Control

differences (Table 2). In contrary, Mahrous *et al.* (2017) studies revealed non-significant increase in serum creatinine concentration at 3rd week while significant decrease at 5th week in clove treated broiler chicken as compared to control birds. Nephroprotective potential of eugenol was reported by earlier workers who reported that treatment with eugenol (@100 mg/kg) not only improved the carbon tetrachloride induced kidney damage but also rectified the altered functional parameters and histological structure of kidney (Fathy *et al.*, 2022). Beneficial effects of eugenol on kidney functions through its powerful antioxidant properties have also been demonstrated by Barhoma (2018).

Results of oxidative stress parameters conducted in the present experimental trial have been shown in Table 3. Eugenol supplemented group (A) revealed significant (P<0.05) increase in catalase activity from 14DPIE onwards till the end of experiment as compared to control group B. The mean values of SOD activities at different intervals also revealed higher values in group A as compared to control group but difference was significant (P<0.05) at 14 and 21 DPIE only. The findings of the present study are in agreement with Mahrous et al. (2017) who reported significant increase in SOD and GSH activity following clove bud supplementation in broilers. The current findings are also consistent with Bello et al. (2016) who observed that increase in dietary clove concentration in Japanese Silkie fowls resulted in linear increase in antioxidant enzymes (SOD, Catalase and Glutathione Peroxidase) activity. In the present study for LPO activity estimation, MDA levels were detected in serum and lower values were noticed in eugenol supplemented group A in comparison to control group B but difference was significant (P<0.05) only at 14 and 35 DOA. Similar findings were reported by Abd El-Hack et al. (2020) who showed significant impact of dietary cinnamon essential oil (having eugenol) on serum malondialdehyde (MDA) levels in broiler chicken. Bello et al. (2016) studies results also showed that supplementation of clove extract @ 400 and 600 mg/kg in diet caused decrease in serum MDA levels in black-meated fowls as compared to control birds. The possible mechanism of reduced MDA formation by essential oils is due to its ability in limiting and preventing cell membrane destruction caused by oxidative stress and production of free radicals. The anti oxidant potential of eugenol is reported to be due to its ability to donate the hydrogen atoms or electrons and scavenge the free radicals produced, thereby terminating the mechanism of peroxide chain reaction (Bonilla et al., 2018).

Mean HI titre (\log_2 , mean \pm S.E) against New Castle disease virus (NDV) in different experimental groups at different intervals (Table 4) revealed that no significant (P 0.05) difference existed in mean HI titres between group A and the control group (B). The mean skin thicknesses of broiler chicks measured after dinitrochlorobenzene (DNCB) application in different groups (Table 5) indicated increase in skin thickness in group A as compared to group B at both 24 and 48 hrs post challenge which was also supported by histopathological examination of skin tissue. The difference was although non-significant at both the intervals. Many plants and their extracts have been discovered as potential substitutes for antibiotic growth promoters in broilers since it has been demonstrated that they have an impact on production of cytokines, T cells, and antibodies at cellular as well as at molecular levels. In agreement to our findings Carrasco et al. (2009) investigated the in vivo impact of clove essential oil (CEO) containing >98% eugenol in mice on both humoral and cell-mediated immune responses and reported that CEO

might increase immune responses by stimulating the hematopoietic system and raising the number of lymphocytes in peripheral blood. In contrary, some researchers observed repressed cell-mediated responses and fortified humoral immune responses in immunocompetent rats after feeding 0.1 ml/kg CEO (comprised of 87.34% eugenol) daily for a period of two weeks (Halder et al., 2011). These results indicate mixed immune response pattern of essential oils. The immunomodulatory activities of essential oils are mediated through multiple mechanisms involving specific and non-specific immunity. They can fortify the immune system by increasing the number leucocytes (leucocytosis) and enhancing their phagocytic power. They can even suppress the inflammatory responses and diminish cytokine generation by interfering with inflammatory mediators (Sandner et al., 2020).

It was concluded from the results of present study that eugenol administration in broiler feed elicits a hepatoprotective, antioxidant, mild immunostimulatory effect.

REFERENCES

- Abd El-Hack, M.E., Alagawany, M., Abdel-Moneim, A.M.E., Mohammed, N.G., Khafaga, A.F., Bin-Jumah, M. and Elnesr, S.S. (2020). Cinnamon (*Cinnamomum zeylanicum*) oil as a potential alternative to antibiotics in poultry. *Antibiotics*. 9(5): 210.
- Aebi, H. (1984). Catalase *in vitro*. In: Methods in Enzymology. Academic Press. **105**: 121-126.
- Andjelkovic, M., Buha Djordjevic, A., Antonijevic, E., Antonijevic, B., Stanic, M., Kotur-Stevuljevic, J. and Bulat, Z. (2019). Toxic effect of acute cadmium and lead exposure in rat blood, liver and kidney. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health.* **16(2)**: 274. Doi: 10.3390/ijerph16020274.
- Barhoma, R.A. (2018). The role of eugenol in the prevention of chromium-induced acute kidney injury in male albino rats. *Alex. J. Med.* 54(4): 711-715.
- Bello, A.U., Sulaiman, J.A. and Aliyu, M.S. (2016). Acute phase protein mRNA expressions and enhancement of antioxidant defense system in Black-meated Silkie Fowls supplemented with clove (*Eugenia caryophyllus*) extracts under the influence of chronic heat stress. J. Ani. Sci. Technol. 58(1): 1-12.
- Bonilla, J., Poloni, T., Lourenco, R.V. and Sobral, P.J. (2018). Antioxidant potential of eugenol and ginger essential oils with gelatin/chitosan films. *Food Biosci.* 23: 107-114.
- Carrasco, F.R., Schmidt, G., Romero, A.L., Sartoretto, J.L., Caparroz-Assef, S.M., Bersani Amado, C.A. and Cuman, R.K.N. (2009). Immunomodulatory activity of *Zingiber officinale Roscoe*, *Salvia officinalis* L. and *Syzygiumaromaticum* L. essential oils: evidence for humoral and cell mediated responses. *J. Pharm. Pharmacol.* 61(7): 961-967.
- Cui, Z., Liu, Z., Zeng, J., Chen, L., Wu, Q., Mo, J. and Guo, X. (2019). Eugenol inhibits non small cell lung cancer by repressing expression of NF B regulated TRIM59. *Phytother. Res.* 33(5): 1562-1569.
- da Silveira Deminicis, R.G., Meneghetti, C., de Oliveira, E.B., Júnior,

A.A.P.G., Farias Filho, R.V., and Deminicis, B.B. (2021). Systematic review of the use of phytobiotics in broiler nutrition. *Rev. Cienc. Agrovet.* **20(1)**: 98-106.

- Fathy, M., Abdel-Latif, R., Abdelgwad, Y.M., Othman, O.A., Abdel-Razik, A.R.H., Dandekar, T. and Othman, E.M. (2022). Nephroprotective potential of eugenol in a rat experimental model of chronic kidney injury; targeting NOX, TGF-b, and Aktsignaling. *Life Sci.* 308: 120957.
- Garabadu, D. and Sharma, M. (2019). Eugenol attenuates scopolamineinduced hippocampal cholinergic, glutamatergic and mitochondrial toxicity in experimental rats. *Neurotox. Res.* 35(4): 848-859.
- Ghasemian, S.O., Gholami-ahangaran, M., Pourmahdi, O. and Ahmadi-Dastgerdi, A. (2022). Dietary supplementation of protexin and artichoke extract for modulating growth performance and oxidative stress in broilers. *Vet. Fak. Derg.* 69(3): 281-288.
- Gholami-Ahangaran, M., Haj Salehi, M., Ahmadi-Dastgerdi, A. and Zokaei, M. (2021). The advantages and synergistic effects of Gunnera (*Gundeliatournefortii* L.) extract and protexin in chicken production. *Vet. Med. Sci.* 7(6): 2374-2380.
- Gholami-Ahangaran, M., Karimi-Dehkordi, M., Akbari Javar, A., Haj Salehi, M. and Ostadpoor, M. (2021a). A systematic review on the effect of Ginger (*Zingiber officinale*) on improvement of biological and fertility indices of sperm in laboratory animals, poultry and humans. *Vet. Med. Sci.* 7(5): 1959-1969.
- Halder, S., Mehta, A.K., Mediratta, P.K. and Sharma, K.K. (2011). Essential oil of clove (*Eugenia caryophyllata*) augments the humoral immune response but decreases cell mediated immunity. *Phytother. Res.* 25(8): 1254-1256.
- Ibrahim, D., Eldemery, F., Metwally, A.S., Abd-Allah, E.M., Mohamed, D.T., Ismail, T.A., Hamed, T.A., Al Sadik, G.M., Neamat-Allah, A.N.F. and Abd El-Hamid, M.I. (2022). Dietary eugenol nanoemulsion potentiated performance of broiler chickens: Orchestration of digestive enzymes, intestinal barrier functions and cytokines related gene expression with a consequence of attenuating the severity of *E. coli* O78 infection. *Front. Vet. Sci.* **9**: 847580.
- Kumar, D., Mehta, N., Panwar, H., Chatli, M.K. and Malav O.P. (2023). *In-vitro* evaluation of antibacterial and antioxidant activity of essential oils from oregano (*Origanum vulgare*) and lime (*Citrus aurantifolia*). *Haryana Vet.* 62(1): 101-105.
- Mahrous, H.S., El-Far, A.H., Sadek, K.M. and Abdel-Latif, M.A. (2017). Effects of different levels of clove bud (*SyzygiumAromaticum*) dietary supplementation on immunity, antioxidant status and performance in broiler chickens. *Alex. J. Vet. Sci.* 54(2): 29-39.
- Marchese, R. Barbieri, E. Coppo, I.E. Orhan, M. Daglia, S.F. Nabavi, M. Izadi, M. Abdollahi, S.M. Nabavi and M. Ajami (2017).

Antimicrobial activity of eugenol and essential oils containing eugenol: a mechanistic viewpoint. *Crit. Rev. Microbiol.* **43**: 668-689. 10.1080/1040841X.2017.1295225.

- Mehr, M.A., Hassanabadi, A., Moghaddam, H.N. and Kermanshahi, H. (2014). Supplementation of clove essential oils and probiotic on blood components, lymphoid organs and immune response in broiler chickens. *Res. Opin. Anim. Vet. Sci.* 4(4): 218-223.
- Mohammadi Gheisar, M. and Kim, I.H. (2018). Phytobiotics in poultry and swine nutrition - A review. *Italian J. Anim. Sci.* **17(1)**: 92-99.
- Nagargoje, S.B., Bhalerao, S.M., Khanvilkar, A.V., Kadam, A.S., Sonawane, G.S., Barate, A.K., Ashar, J. and Andhale, B.C. (2023). Effect of supplementation of *Moringa oliefera* leaf meal and *Tinospora cordifolia* powder on performance of broiler chicken. *Haryana Vet.* 62(1): 155-157.
- Nisar, M.F., Khadim, M., Rafiq, M., Chen, J., Yang, Y. and Wan, C.C. (2021). Pharmacological properties and health benefits of eugenol: A comprehensive review. Oxid. Med. Cell. Longev. 2021, Article, D2497354 http://doi.org/10.N55/2021/2497354.
- Oroojan, A.A. (2020). Eugenol improves insulin secretion and content of pancreatic islets from male mouse. *Int. J. Endocrinol.* Article ID 7416529, https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/7416529.
- Sandner, G., Heckmann, M. and Weghuber, J. (2020). Immunomodulatory activities of selected essential oils. *Biomolecules*. **10(8)**: 1139. doi: 10.3390/biom10081139.
- Shafiq-Ur-Rehman (1984). Lead-induced regional lipid peroxidation in brain. *Toxicol. Lett.* 21(3): 333-337.
- Tandel, R.S., Dash, P., Bhat, R.A.H., Thakuria, D., Sawant, P.B., Pandey, N. and Chadha, N.K. (2021). Anti-oomycetes and immunostimulatory activity of natural plant extract compounds against *Saprolegnia* spp.: molecular docking and *in-vitro* studies. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* **114**: 65-81.
- Tariq, H., Rao, P.R., Raghuvanshi, R.S., Mondal, B.C. and Singh, S. (2015). Effect of Aloe vera and clove powder supplementation on carcass characteristics, composition and serum enzymes of Japanese quails. *Vet. World.* 8(5): 664-668.
- Tiwary, B.K. and Goel, M.C. (1985). Contact sensitivity to DNCB in normal and cell-mediated immunity deficient chickens: *In vivo* detection and correlation with lymphocyte transformation and graft-virus-host reaction. *Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol.* 8: 329-339.
- Yan, L. and Kim, I.H. (2012). Effect of eugenol and cinnamaldehyde on the growth performance, nutrient digestibility, blood characteristics, fecal microbial shedding and fecal noxious gas content in growing pigs. *Asian-Austral. J. Ani. Sci.* 25(8): 1178-1183.